Donald Dalton

April 16, 2009

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
2
3
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in
     his capacity as ATTORNEY
     GENERAL OF THE STATE OF
     OKLAHOMA and OKLAHOMA
5
     SECRETARY OF THE
     ENVIRONMENT C. MILES
6
     TOLBERT, in his capacity
     as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL
7
     RESOURCES FOR THE STATE OF
                                    )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
8
     OKLAHOMA,
                    Plaintiffs,
10
                VS.
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
11
                    Defendants.
12
13
14
                         DEPOSITION OF
15
                         DONALD DALTON
16
17
                         April 16, 2009
18
                           10:00 a.m.
19
                  999 Peachtree Street, N.E.
20
                        Atlanta, Georgia
21
                 Frances Buono, RPR, CCR-B-791
22
23
                    Atlanta Reporters, Inc.
               Georgia Certified Court Reporters
24
                          (866) 344-0459
                   www.atlanta-reporter.com
25
```

**EXHIBIT** 

- 1 Q. Did U.S. Poultry & Egg contribute to that
- 2 body of knowledge and provide information to the
- 3 consortium?
- A. I don't have a clear answer to that. If
- 5 U.S. Poultry & Egg Association had research in any
- 6 particular area, it's published on our website, but
- 7 there may have been some of that information that we
- 8 funded that was included in the handbook. It should
- 9 have been, anyway, if it wasn't, or considered.
- 10 Actually the handbook, if you look at it,
- 11 it was produced by the NRCS, the personnel that were
- 12 there. Mr. Larry Goff was the head of that. So --
- and these that you have given me are not
- U.S. Poultry, you -- you didn't get them from
- 15 U.S. Poultry, you got them from someone else,
- 16 apparently.
- 17 Q. That's correct. Does the consortium
- 18 continue to exist today?
- 19 A. No, it doesn't. The funding from NRCS,
- 20 because of reorganization of that whole government
- 21 agency, fell apart and they withdrew the funding in,
- 22 I want to say 2003, or thereabouts. And they
- 23 withdrew the funding and the agency went away.
- I don't know what happened to the
- 25 employees or what have you, but they probably

- 1 one, but that's --
- 2 Q. Did the consortium gather information from
- 3 the U.S. Poultry & Egg Association in working on this
- 4 Poultry Water Quality Handbook?
- 5 A. If we had the information, we made it
- 6 available to them. I would have to look through and
- 7 see if there's -- if you will notice, the way it's
- 8 put together, each one of these sort of issue things
- 9 has a reference material at the back of it.
- 10 And Larry would write this up as a summary
- of those references, which were research -- primarily
- 12 researchers or data collectors. And that's where he
- 13 got -- that's where he got most of his information,
- 14 if not all of it. And it shows the reference to each
- one of these as being the source of his summary of
- 16 what was in those references.
- 17 That's the way it was put together, I want
- 18 you to understand.
- 19 Q. Did you or anyone else at the U.S.
- 20 Poultry & Egg Association review this document before
- 21 it was finalized, or contribute to drafts?
- 22 A. Contribute to the draft, yes. For
- 23 example, if it were on certain subjects, we had
- 24 Dr. Charlie Beard, for example, at Southeastern. If
- 25 it was in his area of expertise, Larry Goff might

- 1 come to him and say, you know, what do you think
- 2 about this.
- 3 Had no official -- he couldn't put a stamp
- 4 of approval or nonapproval on it, but I'm sure that
- 5 he continually looked at people who had expertise to
- 6 say, do you know any more about this, do you know
- 7 anything we should look at more seriously, or what
- 8 not.
- 9 But he used these people as a resource.
- 10 They are mostly technicians, researchers,
- 11 professionals, and that was his -- he had written
- 12 materials from the universities, et cetera, from
- 13 USDA, from EPA, from NRCS, et cetera, and he also had
- 14 people that had knowledge of the process. And so he
- 15 would go to them and get a review.
- I don't know of any official review. Like
- 17 I say, people didn't say, yeah, I like this and I
- 18 don't like this, or what have you. But he would use
- 19 them as a resource in completing materials.
- Q. You refer to these people, and I think
- you're looking at the page that's marked Pigeon 0495
- in the bottom corner; is that correct?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. You mention Charles Beard who's listed
- 25 here for the Southeastern Poultry & Egg Association.

- 1 What was his area of knowledge on the topic of --
- 2 A. Charlie headed up our research projects in
- 3 terms of -- as I said, U.S. Poultry & Egg's
- 4 cornerstone is research, education, and
- 5 communication. And Charlie Beard, Dr. Beard, who at
- one time worked for the Agriculture Research Service,
- 7 came to us after he retired, and he was in charge of
- 8 running our research process.
- 9 We didn't do any research ourselves, we
- 10 didn't have that money, but we funded research, and
- 11 he was the one that managed that process.
- He would be able to tell Larry yes, or at
- 13 least go through the history of what we had in terms
- 14 of research and say yes, we have a paper that was
- done by the University of Georgia, and so-and-so, and
- 16 give it to them. And that was kind of his role.
- O. Okay. And you were listed at the top of
- 18 the list here --
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. -- Don Dalton, Southeastern Poultry & Egg
- 21 Association, as a major contributor who's given his
- 22 time and knowledge to help organize and write this
- 23 handbook.
- Can you please describe for me your role
- 25 in creating this handbook?

- 1 A. In terms of the handbook itself, my role
- 2 was to say that Southeastern Poultry & Egg
- 3 Association -- I'm not a technician, I'm an
- 4 administrator. And we have this organization that we
- 5 put together. I'm one who purports that this
- 6 organization is worthy of giving your contribution to
- 7 it.
- As far as my ability to technically
- 9 contribute to the handbook, it's more administrative
- 10 ability. I never wrote anything or anything like
- 11 that because I'm not a technician. My contribution
- 12 was the administrative side of saying yes, we need a
- 13 handbook, and contributed in that fashion to it.
- But it mainly is people who had expertise
- in water quality and in water quality aspects of what
- 16 the industry contributed to that.
- 17 Q. Further down on the list, about three
- 18 entries after Charles Beard, Ellis Brunton, Tyson
- 19 Foods, Inc., Springdale, Arkansas, is listed?
- 20 A. Right.
- Q. Can you tell me what Mr. Brunton's role
- 22 was in the handbook?
- MR. BOND: Objection; form. Lack of
- 24 foundation.
- THE WITNESS: I can't tell you what he did

```
Page 35
         or didn't do. Larry Goff, the full-time
1
          employee, interfaced with people on that level
2.
          and I couldn't tell you what he contributed.
3
                (By Ms. Xidis) We have had -- if we can
4
     just -- did we get the new copies?
5
                MS. GORHAM:
                             Yes.
6
                THE WITNESS: Are we through with this
7
8
          one?
                                No. Hold on to that, if
                (By Ms. Xidis)
 9
          0.
     you would.
10
                (Off the record.)
11
                (Dalton Exhibit 4 was marked for
12
          identification.)
13
                (By Ms. Xidis) Sir, we have got Exhibit 3
14
          Q.
     and now we have got Exhibit 4. Exhibit 4 -- the
15
     reason that we are going through this process is
16
     because Exhibit 3 there's a particular chapter where
17
     the pages are out of order and a page is missing.
18
                And so if you could please turn to Pigeon
1.9
     0521 in Exhibit 3. Looking at Exhibit 3, this Dry
20
     Waste Management chapter. As we flip through these
21
     pages, you will see we have our title page.
22
     second page is Page 2. The third page is Page 5.
23
     And then there's Page 3. So, this chapter's gotten
24
     out of order. I believe, sir, I found a correctly
25
```

- 1 paged copy of this chapter which we have marked as
- 2 Exhibit 4.
- 3 Could you take a look at Exhibit 4 for me
- 4 and let me know if it appears to be a correctly
- 5 ordered and complete chapter on Dry Waste Management
- from the Poultry Water Quality Handbook.
- 7 MS. GORHAM: Are you asking him whether it
- appears to be in the correct order or whether he
- 9 recognizes it and knows that it's complete?
- MS. XIDIS: Whether he recognizes it and
- it appears to be a complete chapter.
- MS. GORHAM: Do you understand the
- 13 question?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
- In terms of recognizing it, I'm not that
- 16 close to the manual that I've read all the
- manual, for example, and said yes, this is a
- 18 complete copy.
- 19 It certainly appears to be what would be
- in correct order since you got a cover page,
- Page 1 -- or Page 2, which is the second page --
- Page 3, Page 4, and Page 5.
- O. (By Ms. Xidis) Okay. Did the U.S.
- 24 Poultry & Egg Association distribute the Poultry
- 25 Water Quality Handbook?

- 1 A. It was done out of Tennessee and
- 2 Chattanooga by the consortium.
- O. And who is the intended audience for the
- 4 handbook?
- 5 A. Our original objective, and I think it was
- 6 achieved by Larry Goff, but our original objective
- 7 was to widely distribute this handbook to anyone as
- 8 we gathered names of people who were interested in
- 9 the poultry water quality aspect of things, that we
- 10 would send them a book. All they had to do was
- 11 contact Larry and he would send them a book.
- How many we sent out, I couldn't tell you
- in terms of when I say we, I mean in the consortium,
- 14 sent out, but those were sent out of Chattanooga.
- And the intent was to widely distribute
- 16 it. If people were interested, here's a compendium
- of information, some of it gathered from, like I say,
- 18 from the universities or other purported experts, for
- 19 your interest. If you're interested in it, here's
- 20 what we have been able to collect.
- And also at some point, I don't know, we
- 22 had a list of names of, I would suspect a couple
- 23 hundred or whatever, of people who might be
- 24 interested in this. Those were people, if I'm not
- 25 mistaken, that had asked for the handbook, including,

April 16, 2009

Donald Dalton

- 1 perhaps, EPA and government agencies and that sort of
- 2 thing.
- Q. When you said "we had a list of names,"
- 4 you're referring to the U.S. Poultry & Egg
- 5 Association --
- 6 A. No, I'm talking about --
- 7 O. -- about the consortium?
- 8 A. -- about the consortium had a list of
- 9 names. That was part of the objective originally was
- 10 to find out who's interested, get them talking
- 11 together so that everybody had the same kind of
- 12 information.
- We knew that EPA didn't have any experts
- in the area, and that's the reason EPA joined, they
- 15 wanted to know more than they obviously knew at the
- 16 time about it, and that was the objective.
- So we did -- we being the consortium --
- 18 collect people who were interested and send them a
- 19 book. Now, I can't verify whether it was sent or not
- 20 because I wasn't in charge of sending it, but that
- 21 was the objective of the organization.
- Q. Other than the creation of this handbook,
- 23 did the Poultry Water Quality Consortium do other
- 24 activities, such as hold workshops or meetings?
- 25 A. Not in terms of formal workshops. With

- 1 Larry Goff, again, he was the point man and he would
- visit -- if there were a gathering of any kind that
- 3 had to do with poultry water quality, he would attend
- 4 some of those, as he could. Or he would go out and
- 5 talk to the department of whatever in Kentucky that
- 6 had an interest in that.
- 7 He traveled a good bit and tried to pull
- 8 together people who were interested. So he did a lot
- 9 of that.
- 10 As far as formal, there may have been. I
- 11 can't recall one where we had a meeting sponsored by
- 12 the Poultry Water Quality Consortium that gathered
- 13 this in. But he visited with a lot of people and
- 14 states and Federal Government and so forth.
- Q. Is it fair to say that the publication and
- 16 distribution of the handbook was the main objective
- 17 of the Poultry Water Quality Consortium, or did it
- 18 have others?
- MR. SANDERS: Objection to the form,
- speculation.
- MR. BOND: Object to the form.
- MS. ROCK: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: The main objective, as I
- stated, was to gather material and to bring
- 25 people of interest together.

- Now, the outcome of gathering information
- was principally the handbook, so in that sense,
- 3 that was part of the objective of the
- 4 consortium.
- 5 Q. (By Ms. Xidis) Other than the handbook
- 6 that we've marked and the other edition that came
- 7 out, did it publish other materials on this topic?
- 8 A. Yes. In the sense of a brochure. I
- 9 recall a brochure about poultry water quality. It
- 10 was kind of to give to people to see what was
- 11 interested.
- Beyond that brochure, which was a
- 13 three-way foldout brochure that says Poultry Water
- 14 Quality Consortium, what we were trying to do,
- 15 et cetera, in it, I don't know of any other
- 16 publication. Certainly not anything of -- the idea
- 17 was to consolidate it and show the body of knowledge
- 18 that we were able to accumulate.
- 19 Q. Earlier you mentioned that the office in
- 20 Chattanooga of the consortium is actually where the
- 21 handbooks were mailed out to the interested parties.
- 22 But did the U.S. Poultry & Egg Association increase
- 23 awareness about the handbook or inform its members
- 24 about the handbook?
- 25 A. We did in this sense, I know. That is

- 1 that the poultry trade show in Atlanta each January,
- 2 Poultry Water Quality Consortium had a booth. That
- 3 was part of our contribution in terms of doing that.
- 4 There were -- well, it had a booth for
- 5 several years. And information about -- and the
- 6 poultry exposition draws international visitors also,
- 7 so I would believe -- I never participated in the
- 8 booth, because I had other duties and Buddy was
- 9 there, and I believe that was the major contribution
- 10 from the U.S. Poultry & Egg Association to a method
- 11 of expanding the distribution of the book.
- And that includes some internationals,
- 13 because I think the book was also of interest to some
- 14 international people, and Larry would have the book
- 15 there and distribute it to those who were interested.
- So from that standpoint, yes, we
- 17 contributed to the knowledge that the book existed
- 18 and the Poultry Water Quality Consortium existed.
- In addition to that, Larry Goff would come
- 20 to our board of directors and give a brief on what's
- 21 happened from time to time with where the Poultry
- 22 Water Quality Consortium, what it was doing and so
- 23 forth.
- So, I don't remember any official
- 25 publication where we created a brochure and sent it

April 16, 2009

Donald Dalton

- MS. XIDIS: Thank you, Todd.
- Q. (By Ms. Xidis) Mr. Dalton, I'm going to
- 3 hand you back Exhibit Number 5, which is the Second
- 4 Edition of the Poultry Water Quality Handbook, and
- 5 ask you whether this appears to be an accurate and
- 6 complete copy of that document?
- 7 A. Yes. I had looked at it earlier and so
- 8 stated.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- Before we took our break, Mr. Dalton, we
- 11 were talking about a particular workshop and U.S.
- 12 Poultry & Egg Association's workshops and seminars
- 13 that they hold periodically. I've got some questions
- 14 for you about those.
- I'm afraid some of my -- actually, let's
- 16 stop for a minute.
- 17 (Off the record.)
- MR. WALKER: While we are at a pause for a
- moment, I would like to add, just in case I
- 20 confused anything, that the withdrawal of
- Cargill's objections to Exhibit 5 are as to the
- confidentiality objections, and any other
- objections remain.
- MS. XIDIS: Okay.
- Q. (By Ms. Xidis) Mr. Dalton, it's my

- 1 necessarily, reflect the opinions of the individual
- 2 members of the association?
- A. I would say no, not necessarily. We might
- 4 present a position to the board of directors and have
- 5 an objection to it from some member --
- 6 Q. So simply because the U.S. Poultry & Egg
- 7 Association takes a position, doesn't mean that the
- 8 individual members, necessarily, agree with that
- 9 position. Would that be accurate?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. To your knowledge, has the -- strike that.
- 12 Has the Poultry Water Quality Consortium
- 13 ever taken the position that there should be a
- 14 complete ban on the use of poultry litter as
- 15 fertilizer?
- 16 A. I don't know the answer to that.
- 17 Q. It was my understanding from your prior
- 18 testimony that this Poultry Water Quality Handbook
- 19 was a collection of various materials that existed at
- the time that the handbook was put together; is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. So would you agree that the size
- 24 underlying nutrient management and litter application
- 25 changes over time?

- A. Well, there's probably an ever-improving
- 2 body of work that I guess would -- restate the
- 3 question, maybe I can...
- Q. I guess what I'm getting at, the Poultry
- 5 Water Quality Handbook that's Exhibit 3 contains the
- 6 body of knowledge that existed at the time that it
- 7 was put together?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 O. There's a second edition of this handbook
- 10 that was Exhibit 5?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. I assume that the second edition
- was necessary because there was some kind of change
- in that body of knowledge, would that be accurate?
- 15 A. I believe that's accurate, yes.
- 16 Q. And continuing that forward, that body of
- 17 knowledge will continue to change and continue to
- improve, would you agree with that general statement?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. So that the information that's contained
- 21 in the Poultry Water Quality Handbook may not now be
- 22 accurate. Would that be a correct statement? Or
- 23 might not reflect the most recent science on those
- 24 issues addressed in the handbook?
- A. Not being a scientist, I'm not sure I'm